

HEARING DATE AND TIME: January 26, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)  
RESPONSE DEADLINE: January 11, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF THE TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT DEBTORS' COUNSEL,  
CINDI GIGLIO, AT 212-696-6936.**

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,  
COLT & MOSLE LLP**

101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178-0061  
Telephone: (212) 696-6000  
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559  
L. P. Harrison 3rd  
Cindi Eilbott Giglio

*Counsel for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.  
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.* : 08-13555 (JMP)  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
-----x

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIXTH  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on December 9, 2011, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed their two hundred thirty-sixth omnibus objection to claims (the "Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims"), and that a hearing (the "Hearing") to consider the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus

Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **January 26, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any responses to the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at [www.nysb.uscourts.gov](http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov)) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at [www.nysb.uscourts.gov](http://www.nysb.uscourts.gov)), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for the Debtors, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq. and Mark Bernstein, Esq.); (iii) conflicts counsel for the Debtors, Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10178-0061 (Attn. L. P. Harrison 3rd, Esq., and Cindi Eilbott Giglio, Esq.); (iv) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); and (v) attorneys for the official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these cases, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, New York 10005 (Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq.,

Dennis O'Donnell, Esq., and Evan Fleck, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **January 11, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the "Response Deadline").

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Debtors may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: December 9, 2011  
New York, New York

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,  
COLT & MOSLE LLP**

By: /s/ L. P. Harrison 3rd  
L. P. Harrison 3rd  
Cindi Eilbott Giglio  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178-0061  
(212) 696-6000

*Counsel for Debtors and  
Debtors-in-Possession*

HEARING DATE AND TIME: January 26, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)  
RESPONSE DEADLINE: January 11, 2012 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,  
COLT & MOSLE LLP**

101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178-0061  
Telephone: (212) 696-6000  
Facsimile: (212) 697-1559  
L. P. Harrison 3rd  
Cindi Eilbott Giglio

*Counsel for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----x  
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.  
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.* : 08-13555 (JMP)  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
-----x

**DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIXTH OMNIBUS  
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”) and its affiliated debtors, in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the “Debtors”), respectfully represent:

**Relief Requested**

1. The Debtors file this two hundred thirty-sixth omnibus objection to claims (the “Debtors’ Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code, as amended (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 9019(b) for

Approval of Claims Objection Procedure (the “Procedures Orders”) [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of claim numbers 15365, 18658, and 19274.

2. The Debtors have examined proofs of claim 15365, 18658, and 19274 (the “No Liability Derivatives Claims”), filed by European Aeronautic Defence and Space Company EADS N.V., Programma Dinamico S.p.A., and Programma Dinamico S.p.A., respectively (each, a “Claimant” and collectively, the “Claimants”). The Debtors have determined that the No Liability Derivatives Claims should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to the Debtors. After a review of the Claimants’ supporting documentation and the Debtors’ books and records, the Debtors have determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts (as defined herein) and the netting provisions thereunder, the Debtors do not owe any amounts to the Claimants based on such Derivatives Contracts. Therefore, the No Liability Derivatives Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims, and the Debtors request they be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.

3. The Debtors reserve all their rights to object on any basis to any No Liability Derivatives Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

### **Jurisdiction**

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

### **Background**

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008, and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors’ chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b). The

Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. On September 17, 2008, the United States Trustee for Region 2 (the “U.S. Trustee”) appointed the statutory committee of unsecured creditors pursuant to section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

7. On January 19, 2009, the U.S. Trustee appointed Anton R. Valukas as Examiner in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “Examiner”) and by order, dated January 20, 2009 [Docket No. 2583], the Court approved the U.S. Trustee’s appointment of the Examiner. The Examiner has filed his report pursuant to section 1106(b) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 7531].

8. On July 2, 2009, the Court entered an order setting forth procedures for filing proofs of claim in these Chapter 11 cases, including procedures for filing proofs of claim and supporting documentation for claims based on Derivatives Contracts<sup>1</sup> (the “Bar Date Order”) [Docket No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order provided that “Each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on amounts owed pursuant to any Derivative Contract must: . . . complete the electronic Derivative Questionnaire [and] electronically upload supporting documentation on the website . . . .” (Bar Date Order at 7.) The Bar Date Order further provided that “each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on a Guaranty by a Debtor of the obligations on a non-Debtor entity under a Derivative Contract must [also]: . . . complete the electronic Guarantee Questionnaire and electronically upload supporting documentation on the website . . . .” (*Id.* at 8.) A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://lehman-docket.com>.

---

<sup>1</sup> “Derivatives Contract” is defined in the Bar Date Order as meaning “any contract that is (i) a ‘swap agreement’ as such term is defined in section 101(53) of the Bankruptcy Code or (ii) a ‘forward contract’ as such term is defined in section 101(25) of the Bankruptcy Code . . . .” (*See* Bar Date Order at 6).

9. Exhibit C to the Bar Date Order was a version of the Derivative Questionnaire, which required that the claimant provide various information in support of its claim, such as copies of relevant agreements; a copy of the termination notice; a valuation statement; individual trade-level detail; trade value methodology and quotations; and unpaid amounts, collateral, and other costs associated with the claims pursuant to the Derivatives Contract. Also attached to the Bar Date Order was Exhibit D, a version of the Guarantee Questionnaire setting forth the information forming the basis of the claimant's assertions of a guarantee claim.

10. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Debtors, among other things, to file omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

11. On September 1, 2011, the Debtors filed the Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors (together with any supplements, amendments and exhibits thereto, and as each may be further modified from time to time, collectively, the "Third Amended Plan") and a related disclosure statement (the "Disclosure Statement"). On September 1, 2011, an order approving the Disclosure Statement was entered [Docket No. 19631].

12. On December 6, 2011, an order confirming the Third Amended Plan was entered [Docket No. 23023].

**No Liability Derivatives Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged**

13. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Debtors have identified the No Liability

Derivatives Claims as claims that should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to the Debtors. After a review of the Claimants' supporting documentation and the Debtors' books and records, the Debtors have determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts and the netting provisions thereunder, the Debtors do not owe any amounts to the Claimants based on such Derivatives Contracts, and, therefore, the No Liability Derivatives Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims.

14. A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim's essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at \*15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

15. The Debtors have developed and currently utilize a thorough, multi-step process to review claims filed against the Debtors based on a Derivatives Contract ("Derivatives Claims") in order to determine the fair, accurate, and reasonable value, if any, of such claims or, alternatively, the monetary recovery due to the Debtors with respect to the subject Derivatives Contract. In order to determine the amounts due under a Derivatives Contract, the Debtors: (i) collect and review documents related to the relevant Derivatives Claim including, but not limited to, the relevant Derivatives Questionnaire and/or Guarantee Questionnaire, the termination notice, and the valuation statement; (ii) reconcile posted collateral and any cash payments already received, made, or missed; and (iii) review the valuation methodology used by the claimant to determine the value of the claim, including verifying the legitimacy of quotes

provided by the claimant in connection with its valuation statement, reviewing claimant's "loss" calculation, and evaluating any set-off claims.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the Debtors engage in, to the extent the holder is willing to so engage, lengthy negotiations with the holder of the Derivatives Claim that are often very detailed and may extend over a period of months.

16. The Debtors have undertaken this lengthy process with respect to each of the No Liability Derivatives Claims and concluded that a fair, accurate, and reasonable valuation of the No Liability Derivatives Claims demonstrates that the Debtors do not owe any of the claimants money. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge the No Liability Derivatives Claims in their entirety.

17. In an effort to resolve differences between the Debtors and the Claimants, the Debtors may commence alternative dispute resolutions procedures approved by this Court in either (i) the *Affirmative Dispute Resolution Procedures Order for Affirmative Claims of Debtors Under Derivatives Contracts*, dated September 17, 2009 [Docket No. 5207] or (ii) the *Order Pursuant to Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9014, and General Order M-390 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement Claims Hearing Procedures and Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures for Claims Against Debtors*, dated April 19, 2010 [Docket No. 8474], as applicable.

#### Notice

18. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. The Debtors have served notice of this Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims on

---

<sup>2</sup> For a more comprehensive discussion of the valuation process, please see the Declaration of Gary H. Mandelblatt in Support of Debtors' Motion Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form (attached as "Exhibit C" to Debtors' Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion of the Debtors, Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3), for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form [Docket No. 4113]).

(i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors' Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (vi) the Claimants; and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635].

The Debtors submit that no other or further notice need be provided.

19. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: December 9, 2011  
New York, New York

**CURTIS, MALLET-PREVOST,  
COLT & MOSLE LLP**

By: /s/ L. P. Harrison 3rd  
L. P. Harrison 3rd  
Cindi Eilbott Giglio  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10178-0061  
(212) 696-6000

*Counsel for Debtors and  
Debtors-in-Possession*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x  
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.  
: :  
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (JMP)  
: :  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
-----x

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED THIRTY-SIXTH  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

Upon the two hundred thirty-sixth omnibus objection to claims, dated December 9, 2011 (the “Debtors’ Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims”),<sup>1</sup> of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the “Debtors”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code, as amended (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the No Liability Derivatives Claims on the grounds that they asserts claims for which the Debtors have no liability, all as more fully described in the Debtors’ Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Debtors’ Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors’ Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (vi) the Claimants; and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635];

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Debtors’ Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Debtors' Two Hundred Thirty-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, claim numbers 15365, 18658, and 19274 (collectively, the "No Liability Derivatives Claims") are disallowed and expunged with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order supersedes all previous orders regarding the No Liability Derivatives Claims; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012  
New York, New York

---

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE